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INTRODUCTION

Why?
Three insights led to the program’s design in 2016. First, technological innovation needs to be complemented by social innovation and a more holistic view to sustainable development. Second, a large group of people who studied architecture, urbanism, and city planning between China and Germany are a potential leverage point for stronger Sino-German people-to-people relations. Third, creativity, culture and diversity are transversal design parameters whose power to generate impact is underestimated. Today’s CITYMAKERS’ mission: To grow and enable a network of pioneering citymakers across disciplines who create novel models for livable cities.

What is important now?
1. Urbanization is one of the most pressing dynamics in the world. In China, urban growth has accelerated at an astonishing speed: In 2005, 40% of the population lived in urban areas; today it is close to 60% (that is over 800 million people). What would you say are the biggest challenges related to this phenomenon? Rapid urban development in China, but also in Germany, has led to manifold challenges, ranging from adequate housing for a growing population to the provision of infrastructure and, among others, questions of climate change mitigation. An issue that is often overlooked however, is that of how to include the very diverse range of stakeholders in the city to create a livable and sustainable environment. In this process of rapid growth, the voices of many people remain unheard. Thus, I believe one of the main challenges we face is the integration of different perspectives and practices into city making, whether it is in China, Germany or anywhere else.

2. International understanding and city making: What is your ambition as Robert Bosch Stiftung to contribute in this field and which impact do you wish to create through programs such as “CITYMAKERS China – Germany”? One of the Robert Bosch Stiftung’s many fields of engagement is that of international understanding. We believe that global challenges can only be solved through cooperation across borders and cultures. Our objective is to facilitate multilateral and interdisciplinary exchange among stakeholders, contribute to sustainable partnerships and the joint development of solutions. Urban growth and the concomitant issues mentioned above are among these global challenges. With the CITYMAKERS China – Germany project, the Robert Bosch Stiftung aims to create more livable cities by facilitating exchange and the implementation of ideas on sustainable urban development in China and Germany. We hope to improve the work of existing and to support the development of new partnerships, creating a growing network of responsible CITYMAKERS between China and Germany.

Three questions for Sandra Breka,
Member of the Board of Management,
Robert Bosch Stiftung

1. Scalable social impact – CITYMAKERS China – Germany has identified a number of good stories and practices. But are good practices scalable? More social impact investment can spread practices for common good. A field of support for CITYMAKERS China – Germany to cultivate.

Focus on a shared value compass – In times of increasingly fundamental divide, it is important to focus on the values we share as human beings. The UN Sustainable Development Goals (2030) describe a shared value compass for livable city partnerships.

Making Cities – Making Friends – Collaborating on projects with a shared vision is the best way to turn strangers into trusted peers. And when there are no concrete projects, staying in touch is important. The ongoing CITYMAKER China – Germany dialogues and interviews help build further relations and create a feeling of belonging to the same community. Joint actions are the result – not the start – of good partnerships.

Katja Hellkötter, Founder CASTELLATIONS
International, Co-Initiator and Program Director
CITYMAKERS China – Germany

Further thematically related programs supported by Robert Bosch Stiftung

→ Actors of Urban Change: www.mitost.org/kulturstaatsch/actors-of-urban-change.html
→ Grenzgänger: www.lcb.de/autoren grenzgaenger/
→ SPIELRAUM: www.bosch-stiftung.de/spielraum/
→ Baladiya: www.baladiya.eu/index.php/de
**KEY OBSERVATIONS**

**HORIZON**

**Witnessing emerging initiatives, which upcoming trends do we see?**

Essen won the title of Europe’s Green Capital City in 2017, honoring its successful transformation. The vast industrial landscape in China is just starting its structural transformation, drawing a parallel with Germany’s Ruhr Valley in the early 1960s. The example of Xi An Dahua Cotton Mill refurbishment → STADTMACHER-Blatt #2 2017/4 highlights the trend.

Culture is a common wish for urban development in China. A middle-class consumer culture of aesthetic economy (Visual aesthetics of a product adds value to the product and makes it) has emerged and tabula rasa urban renewal increasingly endangers the existing folk culture. While street food as a living culture is disappearing in China, students in Weimar have imported it with their project, Angerollt (Rolled Up). The Oral History Project in Wuhan → p. 22, Bendi-Local team’s documentation of Xi’an’s street culture and Nantou Ancient City at the 7th Shenzhen Biennale in 2017 are all efforts to preserve or archive the culture of everyday life that is disappearing in China → STADTMACHER-Blatt #2, 43 2017/4, 2017/10.

The countryside is the social and local focus. Under the motto “Think Global – Build Social”, Austrian architect Anna Heringer finished three hostels with local and low-tech materials like bamboo and adobe in the Chinese village of Baixi in 2016. In Xi’an, EURASIA University summer courses visited rural county Wangjiawan in Foping, Shansi, to help the local community find innovative local development opportunities → STADTMACHER-Blatt #3 2017/10.

Formats enabling experiments and knowledge transfer are of further interest. The translation of “Schichten einer Region” and “Handbook Urban Design”, two books published by Prof. Christa Reicher, Department of Theory of Architecture at the Faculty of Architecture, RWTH Aachen University and Silvan Hagenbrock, B.Sc. Urbanistik, CITYMAKERS China – Germany Editor, are of further interest. The translation of “Schichten einer Region” and “Handbook Urban Design”, two books published by Prof. Christa Reicher, Department of Theory of Architecture at the Faculty of Architecture, RWTH Aachen University and Silvan Hagenbrock, B.Sc. Urbanistik, CITYMAKERS China – Germany Editor, are of further interest.

For a more detailed description of and information on the projects and initiatives mentioned see the CITYMAKERS China – Germany website: → www.stadtmacher4986.com

**TERRAIN**

**Examining the urban development status quo, what topics do we record?**

Partnership and exchange is an ongoing urbanization and development topic for both China and Germany. China’s footprint in global affairs is expanding, as manifested in the Belt and Road Initiative and infrastructure investment in Africa. Germany is developing networks (e.g. NAX [Netzwerk Architektur Export]) to support German architects and planners entering international markets, including China. Among numerous bilateral initiatives and projects, CITYMAKERS China – Germany has engaged in enabling individual citymakers and move from ideas to actions → See Ways of Learning Cities, p. 30

Urban dwellers with isolating routines (standardised way of living) and cybersecurity concerns increasingly long for community and are searching for alternative forms of the collective. The relevance of the German Genossenschaft and Baugruppe is worth exploring for China. In Berlin, the Holzmarkt community project is becoming a testing field for regulations and development modes → STADTMACHER-Blatt #3 2017/10; in Dortmund, turns local public spaces into melting pots of collective exchange and action → STADTMACHER-Blatt #2 2017/4.

Green is the color of hope. In the Global Field (Weltacker) Club, everything that one person eats and wears in one year must be statistically grown on an area of 2,000 m². From InselGarten in Berlin Schöneberg to Ecoland Club (China’s first community gardening project) and from a feasibility study of urban farming in local neighborhoods to UFPFARMING research examining potential rooftop spaces, a central focus is green inside and outside the city → STADTMACHER Urban Farming Incubator, p. 26.

**GAPS/BLINDSPOTS**

**Reviewing project realities, what difficulties and blind spots have we discovered?**

Urban renewal remains a controversial theme in China, where culture and existing contexts are often sacrificed to political and commercial interests under the guise of urban development. Reconstructed historical sites, living in green areas or on the water are branding instruments, driving real estate prices upward and pushing low-income groups to city outskirts that lack a basic infrastructure. As LIANG Jingyu said: “It is a tradition in China that every new dynasty destroys the old and creates something new.” Michael Leischner answers: “Please let your impressive city wall stay and do not sacrifice it to short-term, transient capitalistic bubbles.” → interviews at www.stadtmacher4986.com

Environmental issues, especially waste disposal, remain China’s greatest challenge, as shown in the impressive film “Plastic China” by WANG Jiuliang. Policy, industry interests and public awareness have not yet combined to support successful recycling systems in China. With this in mind, Aobag, the initiative that gives citizens cashback for using reusable bags, is especially innovative. Water shortages and water security also deserve attention. LE SHUI XING explores Beijing’s waterscape via strollology, revealing a sinking water level and water pollution. → interviews at www.stadtmacher4986.com

Participation marks an area of fundamental difference between China and Germany. Journalist SHI Ming points out → STADTMACHER-Blatt #3 2017/10 that societal issues need communication, but the space and channels for describing and solving the problems are missing or blocked, creating a dilemma. Contemporary global issues can no longer be confronted without urban participation and once the wish to participate has been expressed, its organization, form and degree need to be explored.
**Aging society, energy transition and urban-rural cooperation**

Looking at blind spots to find shared concerns within Sino-German innovation cooperation

---

**OVERVIEW ANALYSIS**

Prof. Dr. Doris Fischer is the head of the Expert Group of the Sino-German Innovation Platform initiated in 2011 by the German Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (BMBF) and the Chinese Ministry for Science and Technology (MOST) as one of the key bilateral dialogue mechanisms in the frame of Germany’s and China’s strategic partnership. Fischer is also the Chair of the China Business and Economics Faculty at the University of Würzburg, where one of her current research focuses on ecological sustainability aspects for innovation systems. The interview below was a conversation between Doris Fischer, Magali Menant and Katja Hellkötter on the occasion of the 5th Sino-German Innovation Conference in Beijing in February 2018. Relevant future city-making collaboration topics that Fischer suggests include: urban-rural cooperation, energy transition and aging society.

Where do you see untapped potential for collaboration in the field of sustainable urban development between China and Germany? I do not claim to have a complete overview of all collaborations on sustainable urban development. However, I do think that we need improvements and innovation with regard to urban transportation, waste management and living/care for seniors in both countries. The challenges are not necessarily the same, but probably comparable. For China this means: How can we prevent all those second and third tier cities from undergoing the same cycle in transportation as Beijing and reshaping the cities in order to best accommodate private car use, only to realize later that this is detrimental to urban life quality?

Transportation and waste management are topics dealt with in cooperation projects. How do we best reduce waste? Both in Germany and China, consumers underestimate the waste problem because they do not see it. In Germany we are used to separating our garbage, but the ban by China on waste imports has highlighted the fact that while a good waste collection system can improve the use of waste, it does not make it disappear. Much of the waste is just moved to another place. It’s the same in China: The incredibly efficient informal waste system means that the waste disappears from the cities, but urban residents do not know where it goes. This not only increases the rural urban divide – as rural areas suffer most from waste disposal – it also leads to a misjudgment about the scale of the problem.

“There is another issue that troubles me. Given its urban infrastructure, how can China cater to the needs of an aging society in terms of residential housing and transport?”

I have been wondering for some years why the high-rise residential buildings in German towns are often seen as focal areas of social conflict, while this does not (yet?) seem to be the case in China. This latter aspect is not necessarily related to the aging society problem, but it may become related once we reach the stage where China faces increasing maintenance problems for all these rapidly built residential buildings.

In the long run, tearing down buildings to create new ones will not be sustainable. Maintenance will become a (costly) issue. Who is going to pay for it? How will the older generation live if the buildings lose their appeal and functionality?

Another topic that will trouble us for decades to come is water – both in terms of its quantity and quality. Furthermore, how do we ensure that by making China more sustainable, we (that is China and other industrialized countries) do not just shift the problems to other countries or continents? China has tended to adopt a strategy of “developing first and cleaning up later”. If China seriously wants to become environmentally sustainable and develop further at the same time, how does this work without transplanting the unsustainable aspects of development into other countries? Will Chinese and international MNEs “behave better” in the course of Africa’s development?

You advise the German government. What are your three recommendations for deepening the collaboration with China on sustainability in general? My advisory function for the German government is on topics related to innovation, not sustainability as such. Therefore, repeating the importance of sustainability is an ongoing concern from my side. In this context, I particularly suggest collaboration in the fields of energy transition and the ageing society. Both are central concerns affecting the future of China and Germany, and both demand social, technical and economic innovation.

How could we innovate in the cooperation itself? By undertaking more cooperative projects in which researchers from both sides look into issues in each of the two countries. Tripartite projects, in which researchers and cases from other countries are taken on board, are also very helpful in developing mutual understanding and new ideas.

The program CITYMAKERS China – Germany China - Germany is emphasizing social innovation and culture as a “fourth pillar of sustainable urban development” complementary to technological innovation and as a transversal topic. How do you reflect on this and where do you see the chances and boundaries for this more holistic approach? Very important! The ultimate rationale of sustainable urban development must be the quality of life in the cities.

“Against the background of how our cities have evolved in the past, I would argue that looking at cities from the perspective of pedestrians, public transport users and cyclists would help a lot to improve life in the city.”

And talking about science: Where do you see the need and the chances for integration of social sciences and cultural studies into the Sino-German discourse on sustainable urban development? Very important, but difficult, since social sciences are seen in China as much more “political” than natural sciences. Or at least that is what the Chinese side assumes. My suggestion for the aging (urban) society takes this into account. This could be a topic where social science cooperation is feasible. Urban-rural cooperation in development – regarding the question of how to prevent urbanization from resulting in neglect of the countryside – could also be a topic for such integration in addition to energy transition: emphasis on renewable energies changes the power logics and economic rents within formerly centralized grid systems.

---

**Sino-German Urbanization Partnership:** [www.giz.de/en/worldwide](http://www.giz.de/en/worldwide)

Contact in China: peter.sailer@giz.de; Contact in Germany: daniel.krahl@giz.de

**Sino-German Environment and Climate Partnerships:** [www.environmental-partnership.org](http://www.environmental-partnership.org)

Contact: ursula.becker@giz.de

German ministry-endorsed programs with relevance for Sino-German city making:

**Sino-German ALUMNI Network for Architecture & City planning (BMBF)**

[www.china.tu-berlin.de/menue/urbanes](http://www.china.tu-berlin.de/menue/urbanes)

**City of Tomorrow (BMBF)** - Campaign: [www.research-in-germany.org/shaping-the-future](http://www.research-in-germany.org/shaping-the-future)
360° KEY VOICES

Dr. Peter Sailer, Giz Beijing, Director, Sino-German Urbanisation Partnership: “The Sino-German Urbanisation Partnership is primarily driven by the Chinese and German governments to enable and nurture the essential political dialogue and exchange on approaches and instruments for advancing sustainable, integrated and climate-friendly urbanisation in both countries. This presents the chance of embedding and interlinking the political transfer of knowledge, experiences and best-practices for sustainable urbanisation into wider public debates, essentially driving forward the scientific as well as practical engagement with high-value topics, such as green building, urban regeneration and age-friendly urban living and housing.”

Dr. Katja Levy, Associate Professor, Chinese Politics & Law, FU Berlin: “To make comparisons of how the governments in Germany and China outsource public services to organizations from civil society, and to examine the creative space available to these civil society agents.”

Dr. Philipp Misselwitz, Chair of Habitat Unit, Institute for Architecture, Technical University Berlin: “We are too city-focused and tend to forget the flip side of urbanization: the fact that rural areas are left behind.”

Prof. Christa Reicher, Head of Urban Design, School of Spatial Planning, TU Dortmund: “there should be a stronger focus on the design of integrated planning processes as well as on culture as an important local soft factor that needs integration into city planning as well.”

Dr. Gabriele Goldfuß, Head of European and International Affairs, Leipzig Municipality: “To implement a joint project within a network of creative people, academics, sinologists, city planners and developers who work between Germany and China: This diversity of stakeholders would fascinate me.”

Johannes Pflug, China Representative, Duisburg Municipality: “the most important is the mutual exchange of experience and people-to-people relations. Both foreign ministries launched a new “people-to-people dialogue mechanism” last year. Hopefully there will be synergies with your program.”

Michael Leischner, Head of Environment & Climate Protection, Dortmund Municipality: “We do have a range of valid experience to share, such as the reuse of industrial wasteland and industrial relics or the renaturation of waste water sewage systems, or the enhancement of the cultural value of a whole industrial region.”

Gunther Adler, former State Secretary Ministry of Environment (BMUB), now State Secretary for Construction at the Federal Ministry of the Interior, Building and Community: “Any encounter or conference needs a follow-up to result in a useful partnership.”

LIU Nanxing, Program Officer, International Cooperative Affairs, Training Center of the Office of the Leading Group for International Intellectual Resource: “Under the umbrella of the New Silk Road Initiative it is possible to implement international projects that support ‘capacity building’ between cities and local enterprises.”

Dr. Doris Fischer, Head of Expert Group, Sino-German Innovation Platform, BMBF-MOST: “We need to look at what the consequence on society will be from the way we build cities today.”

Below voices are part of the interviews that have been conducted between December 2016 and March 2018 with these interviewees and that had been published in full length on the CITYMAKERS China-Germany website. See website www.stadtmacher4986.com for complete text interviews.
360° Key Voices

WANG Jianchao, Founder of Aobag, Beijing: “The current recycling system in Germany is a result of the combination of policies, industry interests and public awareness. This knowledge is helpful for us to drive the process in China in the future.”

Kristof Schmid, Real estate development sector expert: “My suggestion: A regular German-Chinese forum for alternative development models in China where attention and awareness for this topic in China is raised.”

Dr. Ing. Susanne Hofmann, Architect, Founder of dieBaupiloten BDA: “The Chinese architects were very curious about cooperating with educators in a participatory process. It would be a great chance to do a real project in China to test participatory architecture, e.g. designing a school together.”

WANG Keyao & ZHANG Rubing, Xi’an architects, German Chancellor Fellowship Holders, Xi’an: “There are many experiences, cases and research papers on how to reuse old buildings and industrial heritage. All these aspects are valuable for Chinese cities to learn from German cities.”

Benjamin Scheerbarth, Project Manager, Holzmarkt Berlin: “We need specifications in the building law for innovative concepts.”

LIANG Jingyu, Architect, Founder of Approach Architecture Studio: “There is a lack of effective mechanisms for public participation and interaction with inhabitants, both of which would contribute to urban self-improvement.”

ZHANG Pengju, Architect, Inner Mongolia University of Technology, Hohhot: “The inhabitants of an area have certain habits and a certain behavior when they use a building. These are part of the local culture and have to be taken into account and improved during the planning process.”

An-He Kinzelbach, Architect, Founder of Studio KNOWSPACE, Berlin: “Projects that are relevant for both countries are those that concern affordable housing of the future, as well as living and city in the context of an aging society.”

LIU Jiakun, Architect, Founder Jiakun Architects, Chengdu: “Since the administration in China strives for a lower building density, the result – more open space – is basically good.”

 Marionka Pohl, community organizer, Deutsches Institut für Community Organizing (DICO), Founder of the “Bürgerplattform Spandau”: “First, it is all about listening.”

Malina Becker, Editor: “Chinese films are rather unknown in Germany. Film festivals like Chai.China in Leipzig are a way for joint Sino-German cultural city experiences.”

Sebastian Köbe, Urban Scribe, Robert Bosch Lecturer, Chengdu: “There are many abandoned buildings in Chengdu that have a unique charm. I would like to rediscover these spaces together with local artists, imagine how they could be used in new creative ways to raise public awareness of spatial resources in the urban context.”

Roman Hagenbrock, copy & waste, Theatre Collective, Berlin: “As copy & waste we could rent a shop and open a ‘copy shop’, which would reflect on the ‘copy culture’ that extends into different areas like fashion design, film, architecture and so on.”

SONG Qun, Curator, Founder of Xi’an Urban Memory Museum and more: “Many times things change so fast that there is no time for remembering.”

Jens Thomas, Chief Editor, Creative City Berlin: “How can concepts of sustainability in the field of culture or creative industries be conceived transnationally, so that it is possible to set international standards?”

WU Yimeng, Designer, Artist, Founder of Studio WU, Berlin: “I imagine a project that combines urban gardening and sketching in the city, with participation by the local residents – that’s to say where people together grow flowers and vegetables in their neighborhoods and draw together.”

An interview with the Zhan Group, President, Beijing: “The awareness that water arteries, as lifelines of the city, need to be protected and must be strengthened in both countries.”

First, it is all about listening.”
The Mayor: Supporting green innovation eco-systems, enabling entrepreneurs

Ramona Pop, Vice Mayor, City of Berlin

You are travelling to China in April to open a representative office for Berlin in its partner city Beijing. What are your plans? We plan to support company founders from Berlin as they expand into the Asian market. With the new Berlin Economic Representative Office in Beijing we want to provide a platform to facilitate engagement with Chinese partners, both with businesses and city administrations. Our focus on Chinese partners, both with business and city administrations. Our focus topics: electromobility, energy, the environment and startups.

What does “smart city” mean to you? How does it connect to these topics? Cities are responsible for around 75% of the global energy and resource requirements. At the same time, the spatial proximity of suppliers and consumers is a good prerequisite for making cities livable. Berlin is already a laboratory: the city is focusing on using its resources optimally to boost the quality of life it offers.

What can city mayors do to promote ecosystems for innovative city making? And which lessons learned would you share with your Chinese partners? First, we are modernizing Berlin with ecology in mind. By opening up the public utility company, we have driven the energy transformation. Berlin residents can now use local green electricity. We have created a modern energy provider for the city that is also an important player in energy upgrades for public buildings. We are developing clean public transport concepts, e.g. the conversion of the bus fleet to electric drives. Second, digitalization is a prerequisite for the energy transformation and innovative city-making. We have created a platform for collaboration among medium-sized businesses, startups and scientists with the aim of developing new digital business models or advancing existing ones. And third, entrepreneurs play an important role as catalysts for innovations. Berlin is the start-up capital of Europe! We have set up various support programs.

Exactly how do you support entrepreneurs between Berlin and China? We have launched two relatively large initiatives: One is the Startup Asia Berlin (SUAB) project, initiated by the Berlin office. It will be implemented by the Berlin local NGO called enpact e.V. The project will interconnect the ecosystems of Berlin and India (Bengaluru) and Indonesia (partner city Jakarta) and China (Beijing, Shenzhen and Hong Kong). The other is StartAlliance, an initiative of the Startup Unit at Berlin Partner for Business and Technology, which cooperates with a range of incubators and accelerators.

The intention of my trip to China in April is also to accompany this engagement from a political perspective. We want to create favourable general conditions for companies and cultivate the relationships with partners in China. Political encounters also pave the way for economic collaboration. Of course, the initiative must come from the companies themselves.

What do you personally find interesting to learn from China? China has been making great strides in the field of electromobility – I would like to find out how they have achieved this shift, examining its impact on various areas and whether or not some of their activities could be a model for Germany and in particular, Berlin.

You also teach at the Central Academy of Fine Arts. How can education become more innovative? My experience says that students’ perception of architecture is typically limited to concrete and engineering. I think a lot of this is due to a lack of social understanding as individuals and a collective. We need to teach the basics and subtlety of human needs.

What is your vision for Sino-German cooperation? Education for everyone – including myself! We need to continually evolve and adapt. The Internet has voided the need for knowledge, yet knowledge-based learning is still prevalent in many mindsets and education systems. I would like to see the methods of design thinking and enterprise education embedded in everyone’s lives, across all fields as basic tools for all to master.

Photography: SwiWEB

The Architect as Creative Thinker: Called to inspire and love people

DONG Hao, Co-founder of Crossboundaries, Beijing

DONG Hao received his bachelor’s degree in architecture from the Beijing Institute of Civil Engineering and Architecture and a master’s degree in architecture from Pratt Institute in New York. After living and studying in the U.S. for almost 5 years, he returned to China in 2002. DONG Hao began working at major state-owned design enterprise Beijing Institute of Architectural Design in 2003. He co-founded Crossboundaries, based in Beijing, in 2005 and is managing director of the Beijing office. He teaches at the Central Academy of Fine Arts and Tsinghua University.

What do architects/urbanists need to make livable cities? Mostly, they need to care about, love and understand human beings. All the stakeholders must share an understanding of the whole picture: humanity is the center and money is secondary. Then they can locate the equilibrium in the spectrum of nature vs. infrastructure and leverage the elements’ synergies.

How do you value your personal contribution to making livable cities? As designers, we are shapers that inspire people. Regardless of the scale of the project – be it a chair or a city – the sole purpose must be to create a whole organism with a relationship to individuals and society. When the true starting point and goal revolves around this concept, everything else falls into place: the requirements for shelter, preservation of nature and utilization of resources.

Your architecture creates spaces for living and learning and not static physical structures. Is this approach acknowledged in China’s architectural world? The industry is shifting from a value placed on the constructed materials to the more “invisible” volume they create. As Confucius said: “A house is defined by walls while its use depends on the empty space within. Therefore, take advantage of what is there by making use of what is not.”

What are the biggest obstacles to and opportunities for creating cities? The biggest obstacle we face is the recalibration of all stakeholders – moving them toward the same goal and moving the goal away from purely financial short-term motives. We invite our clients to explore Chinese modernity and tradition with us, defining value that is not just measured in RM.

You also teach at the Central Academy of Fine Arts. How can education become more innovative? You also teach at the Central Academy of Fine Arts. How can education become more innovative? What do architects/urbanists need to make livable cities? Mostly, they need to care about, love and understand human beings. All the stakeholders must share an understanding of the whole picture: humanity is the center and money is secondary. Then they can locate the equilibrium in the spectrum of nature vs. infrastructure and leverage the elements’ synergies.

What do architects/urbanists need to make livable cities? Mostly, they need to care about, love and understand human beings. All the stakeholders must share an understanding of the whole picture: humanity is the center and money is secondary. Then they can locate the equilibrium in the spectrum of nature vs. infrastructure and leverage the elements’ synergies.

Photography: crossboundaries.com

Since December 2016 Ramona Pop has been a mayor of Berlin and Senator for Economy, Energy and Public Enterprises. She is a member of the Alliance ‘90/The Greens party (since 1997). Pop studied political sciences in Münster and at the Freie Universität Berlin.

Read the full interview in German & Chinese on: www.stadtmacher4986.com/content/language1/htm/55104.asp
The Acupuncture Architect: Obliged to heritage sensitivity and rural identity

XU Tiantian, founder DnA Design and Architecture

→ XU Tiantian is the founding principal of DnA_Design and Architecture. Born in 1975 in Fujian, XU Tiantian received her M.A. in Urban Design from the Harvard Graduate School of Design and her Baccalaureate in Architecture from Tsinghua University in Beijing. The award-winning architect has engaged extensively with rural revitalization processes in Songyang. In March 2018, an exhibition about this work, “Rural Moves – The Songyang Story,” opened at Aedes Architecture Forum in Berlin.

The Educator: Moving to the next level of Sino-German collaboration in education for architecture & city planning

Prof. CAI Yongjie, Tongji University

→ Professor CAI Yongjie is the head of the College of Architecture and Urban Planning at Tongji University Shanghai. His research fields are Urban Design, Public Space, Architectural Design, incl. Urban Sociology and Traditional Urban Space. With doctoral studies in Germany (TU Dortmund) Prof. CAI not only teaches courses on “Comparison of Chinese and German Architecture” but is also involved in Sino-German education cooperation, e.g. setting up double degree programs with TU Berlin and Bauhaus-Universität Weimar. His dedication to education was acknowledged in several awards e.g. the Prize for “Establishing the cultivation system for human quality in architectural education (2005). CAI Yongjie is originally from Chengdu, Sichuan Province.

What are the strengths of collaboration between Germany and China in the field of architecture and city planning? German universities are very detailed, focused and skilled in engineering, building design, building construction and long-term strategy, while Chinese architecture is diligent and eager to learn and adapt. Is a nation capable of learning? You can find out about the experiences and knowledge of other countries like Germany but it is not the same as first hand experience. The point is: Can China avoid making urban planning mistakes by pooling knowledge from other countries or do we have to make our own mistakes? When I was a student, my German professor sometimes gave us examples of urban planning errors detected in German cities to avoid, but it was and still is difficult to give advice like this in China. It is easy to transfer technical knowledge but experience and profound understanding is difficult to transfer: this requires a lot of time and patience. Even though Chinese planners are very interested in German knowledge, they have their own way of thinking, want to gather their own experience. In China, steel frame construction was very quickly adapted from Western architecture and further developed. In German architecture, skeleton structures with load-bearing columns and ceilings to increase ceiling height have been popular for a long time. But in China very few architects know about them.

Where do you see untapped potential for collaboration? China has learned a lot from Western countries - we have virtually adopted the complete German Federal Building Code (BauGB). I feel that Sino-German cooperation now has to intensify the countries’ mutual understanding and ways of learning.

If you had to develop a new Sino-German program for innovation in education … I feel the learning systems in China and Germany are very different with regard to innovation in education, so a combination of both would be good. The in-depth, technique-oriented German way of learning in combination with the flexible and pragmatic way in China would make an excellent combination.

How about a Sino-German summer camp not for students but for educators and curriculum designers on the question of “How to leverage 20 plus years of Sino-German cooperation in architecture and city planning education”? That would indeed be a very interesting activity.
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The Sustainable City Collaborator:
Expertise and advocacy for urban transition partnerships

Roman Mendle, ICLEI – Local Governments for Sustainability

ICLEI acts as “cooperation architect” for sustainable cities: you recently launched the Urban Transitions Alliance with 11 cities from the U.S., Europe and China. Which building blocks do urban transition partnerships require to be successful? Urban transitions are the continuous process of change that cities are subject to, but that they can also steer towards normative goals such as urban sustainability and quality of life. One key building block is connecting community actors with multiple departments and utilities, civil society, researchers, other knowledge owners, entrepreneurs, etc. Co-defining a joint vision – serving as a compass of shared values – is a second major building block. A third one: identifying potential to leverage opportunities in the interest of all. A more comprehensive concept than a business case.

As CEOs of cities, mayors are a key focus of your advocacy efforts for sustainable cities. Which incentive mechanisms are effective at turning mayors into pioneering citymakers? Mayors are actually very different from CEOs. They are advocating for and keepers of their constituency’s wellbeing for present and future generations. Citizens cannot be compared to employees or users. Cities are homes, not enterprises. There are many things we can support pioneering mayors: from making their voices heard to supporting them in financing and implementing ambitious local campaigns.

When speaking about sustainable cities in China and Europe, are we talking about the same? Despite many differences – paradigms, operational frameworks, circumstances – I would like to stress that we share fundamental values. The global sustainability agenda is an example. The laws of nature, scientific facts, basic human needs and environmental realities are the same everywhere. Collaboration will only be difficult if we allow ourselves to deviate from these shared realities in our thinking by buying into stereotypes or creating assumptions before we try to understand the actual meaning behind the words we both say.

If you could suggest one type of project for CITYMAKERS China – Germany, what would that be? An advanced capacity development program for experienced practitioners in Sino-German relations that further breaks down stereotypes, develops active listening skills and opens minds for other ways of thinking about or looking at urban realities.

→ Roman Serdar Mendle is a sustainability scientist and China expert who is the Smart Cities Program Manager at ICLEI – Local Governments for Sustainability. His team enables the 1,500 cities in the ICLEI network to navigate the smart cities space by applying a critical sustainability lens, creating collaboration and knowledge exchange opportunities for cities across the globe. The ICLEI China office, for which Roman serves as a liaison person, was established and registered in 2018 in Beijing.
→ roman.mendle@iclei.de / www.iclei.org
→ ICLEI in China: eastasia.iclei.org/about/iclei-china.html. ICLEI, iclei-china@iclei.org
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Exploring alternative ways of living, working and sharing in Chinese cities
The project discusses and conceptualizes a novel resident-centered building type in China – a collective architectural space based on common visions of sharing time and space, built and inhabited by collective, private clients. Inspired by existing innovative German co-housing models, Future of Living explores how elements and qualities from German cases can be employed to make housing and living in Chinese cities more socially inclusive, environmentally sustainable and financially affordable, and thus become an asset for cities.

STATUS QUO

We have identified four key groups of stakeholders needed to implement an innovative housing project: the community of future tenants, the developer or sponsor of the project, the municipal planning office and the architect / urban designer. In December 2017 we held a focus group event with developers, architects and urban planners in Beijing at the office of Crossboundaries in Beijing in order to present our analysis, hear opinions and discuss. Via an online questionnaire we also obtained the opinions and preferences of potential residents. We also organized a joint architecture design studio at Tongji University Shanghai and Bochum University of Applied Sciences.

The architectural design studio at the College of Architecture and Urban Planning (CAUP), Tongji University Shanghai started on March 8th 2018. The studio at Bochum University of Applied Sciences began in the first week of April.

Future Tenants  Developer  Municipal Planning Office  Architect / Urban Planner
In June 2018, the architecture students participating in the joint Bochum-Tongji design studio will meet in Shanghai to exchange ideas, explore site options, refine their designs and present them to invited experts. Their activity will play a key part in sustaining the discussion with the focus on group members, especially developers and consultants to municipalities. The aim is to eventually produce a prototype, either as an exhibit at a real estate fair or an architectural biennale, or as a 1:1 scale building.

Our biggest concern is that the existing competition in China’s housing market, combined with the Chinese zoning regulation and land lease system, will make it hard to come up with a competitive business model. In addition to traditional homeownership, competition comes from developer owned and operated standardized houses like Xiaomi’s You+ or Vanke’s Port Apartments. These cater to white-collar, childless young professionals from the post-1990s generation, but offer them no long-term perspective in the sense of knowledge of the surroundings or interaction with their neighborhood. We plan to propose a building type aimed at a wider segment of the population, one that will also integrate children and the elderly and include long-term lease or ownership options.

The Future of Living project is closely tied to real estate construction. Key challenges for such a project are access to land, financial capital, and in contrast to other real estate projects, sparking the commitment of future residents to subscribe to and design a concept of sharing. We think the project is progressing well, even though we cannot dedicate ourselves to it full time.

When drafting our project proposal, we underestimated how many steps would be required during the process of soliciting interest. We found that it is not only important to build and grow a community of Future of Living experts within the established circle of architects, developers and interested residents, but also to sustain a discussion that goes beyond a one-off focus group event. In order to generate material to keep this discussion alive, we are now running the joint architecture design studio.

For the city making cooperation between Germany and China in 2018/2019 we recommend expanding the network of participants, particularly bringing in more representatives from municipalities, who have an interest in studying and possibly being part of trials for the Future of Living concept.

To move on to implementing our project, we will need more publicity. We ideally want to promote Future of Living at exhibitions and industry trade fairs, and produce a print publication to fuel the debate in professional circles and among potential future residents.

In order for Future of Living to have more relevance in the frame of Sino-German urbanization and cross-city cooperation, we recommend that adding co-housing projects to the itineraries of Chinese delegations to Germany, and turning the creation of affordable and socially integrated mixed-use housing projects into a regular topic for delegations. Financing co-housing, through special loans, like those already offered by some German credit institutions, should be on the agenda of Chinese delegations from the financial sector.

If money, land and land-use regulations were not an issue, we would propose the following next step: the project should be to promote the idea of living, working and sharing in one building on WeChat, and call for volunteers who are willing to conceptualize a community, cast them, and design and construct a building to suit their needs. This would be the most direct way to show municipalities, architects and citizens how they can create better places, better lives and a better future.

Lessons Learned

During the focus group event and individual conversations, experts were supportive of our idea, but cautioned that any such project needed to be backed by a profit-making business plan. Almost half of the 1,000 Chinese respondents to our online survey said they would be willing to pay even more for housing than they do now if shared living would provide them with access to better facilities and a more inspiring community of neighbors and, in this way, improve their overall quality of life.
Shared Heritage

The Wuhan Narrative culture and identity incubator team acts on the assumption that culture is at the heart of the liveable city and expresses the state of social, civil and urban development. It also represents the contemporary and historic values of a society. Cultural heritage is part of a city’s identity and contains “cultural narratives” that are embedded in the built environment. Architecture from all epochs can act as a carrier of stories and become an important witness to history. It can be read, interpreted and understood within the local community and by outsiders. The goal of the team is to make the cultural memory of the city visible to the citizens and visitors of Wuhan and to use the surviving buildings as witnesses to a productive debate on the issues of culture and identity in urban space.
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In October 1895, the first German Concession in China was established in Hankou, today part of Wuhan. The second followed in Tianjin only a few weeks later, before Qingdao was established in March 1898. In Wuhan, the German Concession was one of the five concessions by foreign powers (Great Britain, France, Russia, Germany and Japan). It continued under German administration until 1917 and during WWII large-scale bombing destroyed most of the building. To return this history to today’s discourse and evaluate the remaining heritage, we undertook basic research on site in Wuhan and in public and private archives in both China and Germany.

The remaining buildings from the German period are few, but they can be used as a critical reference for a fruitful debate on history and memory and an academic exchange on the shared heritage of China and Germany. With more background information, the built environment as an “open campus” can contribute to educating young people and stimulate debate on history and identity and in a broader, even global sense, on colonialism and national identity. By providing new insights we hope to sensitize administrations and citizens to the value of the remaining traces. They include traces in the built environment on site and in intangible heritage, hidden in private memories and stories related to the buildings.

The Deutsch-asiatische Bank building in Hankou was designed by German architects Becker & Baedeker in 1908 and destroyed on 18 December 1944 in an air raid. Courtesy of the Historic Institute of Deutsche Bank Frankfurt. © Deutsche Bank AG, Historisches Institut.

The former German town hall, designed by Lothar Marcks & Busch in 1909, is now under reconstruction. Courtesy of CeCP/ Wuhan. © Yang Fan.
German archive searches brought information about the founding of the German concession and some of the associated plans, photos and documents to light. Part of the material is in the Political Archive of the Foreign Office, part is in the archive of the Reichstag, some is in the Secret State Archives Prussian Cultural Heritage and some is in the private collection of Studienwerk Deutsches Leben in Ostasien. Further materials were also found in the Federal Archives in Koblenz and Berlin and newspapers held at the Staatsbibliothek Berlin are currently being evaluated. There are also many technical reports and experience reports in various publications from the era. As part of this project, the materials were localized in libraries and the archives mentioned. Due to their size and the available funds, they could only be partially developed and require further investment of time and research. On site, our group mapped the remaining traces and collected stories that will contribute to the identity discourse as oral history.

An important lesson from this project was the realization that a great deal of the historical information stored in German archives is unknown to the public and simply inaccessible to Chinese experts. On the one hand, the language barrier makes it difficult for them to argue their case; on the other hand, contextualization requires specific knowledge of German history and Chinese circumstances alike. Without this basic information, it is difficult to convince the local administration in Wuhan of the importance of existing tracks and source materials. The administrative and political history of the German Reich in Wuhan lasted 23 years. As a result, German traces were transformed early on and placed in a new context of the history of the Republican period and “Red history”. The narrative of Chinese history told within the framework of the Communist Party’s evolution.

Like all Chinese cities, Wuhan is undergoing a dynamic process of urbanization in which many historic sites are being radically altered or disappearing altogether. The few surviving German places of remembrance offer an opportunity to define a critical framework of discourse that encourages the preservation and analysis of historical memories. A city also reveals itself through the complexity and inconsistency of its development, which can establish itself in its productive discourse as a generator of an urban identity.

We recommend establishing a platform on the topics of shared heritage, conservation strategies, tourism and identity. Together with local activists, the city administration and experts, German partners have the opportunity to shape the discourse that will culminate in mutual learning and understanding here.

As a next step, it makes sense to embed our findings in a broader and more global framework by asking universities to sponsor workshops with students in order to flesh out and verify the information now available. Other local groups working on heritage (also in the French, British and Russian concessions) as well as the local administration should also be integrated.

The Goethe Institut could co-support on-site events in the frame of its cultural dialogue activities in order to publicize the newly found information locally.

We plan to initiate a critical discourse that leads to local action and projects abroad. The Chinese public’s mind on how to deal with colonial history must be changed and we need to a sense of responsibility for a shared heritage in Germany. We will activate different media and develop appropriate tools. An exhibition of the materials found in Germany and China would be a good place for bringing the interested public and the various actors together.

An important lesson from this project was the realization that a great deal of the historical information stored in German archives is unknown to the public and simply inaccessible to Chinese experts. On the one hand, the language barrier makes it difficult for them to argue their case; on the other hand, contextualization requires specific knowledge of German history and Chinese circumstances alike. Without this basic information, it is difficult to convince the local administration in Wuhan of the importance of existing tracks and source materials. The administrative and political history of the German Reich in Wuhan lasted 23 years. As a result, German traces were transformed early on and placed in a new context of the history of the Republican period and “Red history”. The narrative of Chinese history told within the framework of the Communist Party’s evolution.

Like all Chinese cities, Wuhan is undergoing a dynamic process of urbanization in which many historic sites are being radically altered or disappearing altogether. The few surviving German places of remembrance offer an opportunity to define a critical framework of discourse that encourages the preservation and analysis of historical memories. A city also reveals itself through the complexity and inconsistency of its development, which can establish itself in its productive discourse as a generator of an urban identity.

We recommend establishing a platform on the topics of shared heritage, conservation strategies, tourism and identity. Together with local activists, the city administration and experts, German partners have the opportunity to shape the discourse that will culminate in mutual learning and understanding here.
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Like all Chinese cities, Wuhan is undergoing a dynamic process of urbanization in which many historic sites are being radically altered or disappearing altogether. The few surviving German places of remembrance offer an opportunity to define a critical framework of discourse that encourages the preservation and analysis of historical memories. A city also reveals itself through the complexity and inconsistency of its development, which can establish itself in its productive discourse as a generator of an urban identity.

We recommend establishing a platform on the topics of shared heritage, conservation strategies, tourism and identity. Together with local activists, the city administration and experts, German partners have the opportunity to shape the discourse that will culminate in mutual learning and understanding here.
INCUBATOR PROJECTS

Food and thus food security is a basic need that affects everyone. This has led to the global urban farming movement. The Urban Farming Incubator is looking for scalable solutions for urban farming by creating a platform for knowledge exchange between China and Germany. Our purpose is to help social entrepreneurs achieve social, environmental, and economic benefits by creating community gardens. UFI’s Shanghai-based and Berlin-based teams support each other. The Shanghai team primarily focuses on creating a prototype and a social business plan while the Berlin team’s main thrusts are information research and creating a manual on how to set up an urban farming garden.

The research results (manual guide, interviews, reports & workshops) and documentation of our garden demo in Shanghai and Berlin are available at: www.urbanfarmingincubator.com

STATUS QUO

Sino-German Garden Demo

Shanghai
UFI developed a business plan for scaling up community gardening at a Chinese school. We held a workshop on Nov. 1, 2017. The initial project proposal was for a roof garden at the Design and Innovation Institute, Tongji University Shanghai. Because there was no information on the roof’s load-bearing capacity, we scratched the first proposal and turned to a roof garden solution at Shixi Primary School. That winter, the school, WeGarden (a Shanghai-based social enterprise dedicated to the promotion of community gardens) and UFI collaborated on building a green roof garden. Eight prototype wooden planting boxes were set up on the school roof.

Berlin
We’re happy to have found a place for our Sino-German Garden Demo in Max-Pflanzen Garden, a community garden on the Max-Planck Gymnasium grounds in Berlin-Mitte. The garden has a total area of 400 m² and a young, intercultural team of volunteers has been tending it since 2015. We have installed cold boxes for planting Chinese and German vegetables there. A special vegetable box will be part of the Global Field’s “Cropland Buffet” event: we are going to plant all the ingredients necessary for the popular dish “yu xiang qie zi” (fish-flavored eggplant)!
The scalable model of the school roof garden program needs to be integrated into the school curriculum for a sustainable impact. Teachers are the major driving force for roof garden operation, therefore teacher training (TT) will be a major target of UFI input.

Less is more: Start with a small project that can be realized in a limited time and can “grow” organically through scaling.

Try to meet your partners from China/Germany for several days. Start DOING projects together in real life and places outside the conference hall and offices. Visit interesting people and places together.

Share the experiences from your project in an authentic way: DIY workshops, guided tours, etc.

Share the experiences from your project in an authentic way: DIY workshops, guided tours, etc.


**Arable land required for “yu xiang qie zi” (fish-flavored eggplant) with rice (1 serving)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>Arable land required (m²) per kg</th>
<th>Arable land (m²) per portion</th>
<th>List of plants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chillis green 3</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>1 plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggplant (200 g)</td>
<td>0.256</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>1 plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garlic (15 g)</td>
<td>0.382</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>1 plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ginger (15 g)</td>
<td>0.935</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>1 plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice (150 g)</td>
<td>1.471</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>2 rows 48 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybeans 10 g</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>1 plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunflower oil 20 g</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>2 plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.445</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Recommendations**

- The scalable model of the school roof garden program needs to be integrated into the school curriculum for a sustainable impact. Teachers are the major driving force for roof garden operation, therefore teacher training (TT) will be a major target of UFI input.

- Less is more: Start with a small project that can be realized in a limited time and can “grow” organically through scaling.

- Try to meet your partners from China/Germany for several days. Start DOING projects together in real life and places outside the conference hall and offices. Visit interesting people and places together.

- Share the experiences from your project in an authentic way: DIY workshops, guided tours, etc.

**Lessons Learned**

- It is difficult to find a business model for building and operating a community garden outside of community volunteers. Foundations and corporate CSR can provide some of the funding but more volunteer work needs to be facilitated by local NGOs.

- It is not easy to communicate between Berlin and Shanghai because of the distance. We’ve found that it makes more sense to have mixed Sino-German teams in both countries working at local places supporting and learning from each other. The knowledge can be compiled in one medium (website, etc.) and shared with the public. Act local – think cross-cultural

- It took some months and effort to find “common ground” – a place to start a garden demo in both cities.

**Activities**

- Shanghai Urban Farming Business Plan Development Workshop (Nov. 1)
- Participated in International Urban Farming Conference in Berlin (Sept. 11–12)
- Joined Global Field Club (Weltacker Club) Berlin
- Took part in the Global Field’s Cropland Buffet Workshop (February 2018)
- Building a cold box workshop in Max-Pflanzen Garden (March and April 2018)

- Places visited, persons interviewed:
  - Tracey’s Rooftop Restaurant in Chengdu (interview)
  - Dr. LIU Yuelyai, founder of Clover Leaf NGO Shanghai (interview)
  - Global Field – Weltacker (several meetings, interview)
  - GoodBank Restaurant - Inform Berlin (Food test)
  - Gartenarbeitsschule Friedrichshain (visit and interview)
  - Garden blogger Caro from www.hauptstadtgarten.de (Interview)

- Activity planned for Asia Pacific Week on 28. April:
  - A guided tour about community gardening places in Mitte
  - Cross-cultural planting & gardening workshop in Max-Pflanzen Garden

**Future**

- “Intercultural education in the garden” is the proposed focus of UFI’s second year. Options including building “garden partnerships” between the two garden demos in Berlin where parallel events and exchange could happen: for example, schoolchildren in China could plant a German dish or German vegetables.

- Shanghai: Upgrade the Shíxi school roof garden towards an educational base for nature-related science technology engineering math (STEM) courses. Develop Garden+STEM curriculum with school teachers and promote roof garden education to more Chinese and international schools.

- Berlin: We would like to maintain our garden demo in Max-Pflanzen Garden and launch it as a “Classroom under the Tree” with regular workshops and events held by different experts in order to learn about the following topics in an intercultural environment: ecology and city life / healthy food / nature & culture

**Data**

- 9 cold boxes and 2 raised beds built
- 6 core team members (2 in Shanghai, 4 in Berlin), 3 additional members
- 10 urban garden cases collected from China and 10 from Germany
MAPPING – Investigating & cultivating the field

Why: Dialogues are not events but ongoing processes of exchange between people. Quality exchange can only happen when each side understands the other’s perspective. Conversations are the first step to collaboration.

What: Interviews based on well-prepared, upfront questions to people and stakeholders relevant to your field of city-making. Related tools: Stakeholder dialogue interviews, Empathy Maps.

Example: With more than 70 interviews conducted in the program’s 2 years, CITYMAKERS China – Germany platform is a major source of qualitative information. The interviews have also led to relationships and some joint activities.

Landscape framework – Mapping strategically and visually

Why: Understanding our field of action, the ecosystem of stakeholders and interrelationships is necessary for strategic planning but it is not easy, and communicating the bigger picture to third parties (internal or external) is even more challenging.

What: A structured matrix based on the landscape/garden metaphor developed by CONSTELLATIONS. It uses landscape categories such as macroclimate (political influences) and topography (megatrends) for analysis accompanied by scribing.

Example: Applications to EU-China Cultural Landscape (2014) and various CITYMAKERS China – Germany mappings based on the landscape approach (incl. Recommendations 2016).

MEETING – Building relationships, curating communities

Pop-ups and Map & Meets – Reaching out, seeding ideas

Why: How do we multiply and spread the ideas of an initiative like CITYMAKERS China – Germany without infinite resources? How to meet the challenge proactively, with the potential of motivated citymakers who need a bit of stimulus to take action?

What: Network approaches to grow initiatives (snowball effect). Pop-ups (more spontaneous) and Map & Meet (more planned) are two informal, low-cost formats for outreach and creating ownership among local citymakers.

Example: CITYMAKERS Map & Meet Leipzig (Dec. 2017) hosted with the local Confucius Institute was the stimulus for holding regular informal meetings for people interested in China (as of March 2018).

Top-down bottom-up – Connecting the dots transversally and vertically

Why: Exchange among all stakeholders is the key to successful cooperation and sustainable results. New thinking needs new groupings of people to discover hidden potential and synergies.

What: Multi-stakeholder meetings designed with thoughtful, curatorial effort can have different layers or parallel formats combined creatively inside and outside the city. Other tools: stakeholder interviews, generative facilitation, Art of Hosting.

Example: CITYMAKERS China – Germany kick-off Meet-Up in Berlin in 2016, supported by the Berlin state government and other stakeholders.

Making – Incubating ideas into actions

CITYMAKERS Incubator – Team up, move on

Why: Conference and workshops generate good ideas. The challenge is how to follow up on a good idea and incubate it into a real, actionable project.

What: Mobilize or curate teams of four to six members, Germans and Chinese; project coaching (online or offline), seed money as project development money to move from idea to feasible plan.

Example: CITYMAKERS China – Germany currently supports three Sino-German focus groups: Wuhan Narrative on cultural memory, the Future of Living and Urban Farming Incubator Shanghai and Berlin.

CITYToolBox – Find inspiration, take action

Why: Lot of best practice databases and archives exist. But where are the stories that really move and inspire people, leading to action and change?

What: A format that scouts innovative projects beyond the mainstream and tells stories of daring, change-making ideas with the potential to be used as examples.

Example: The CityToolBox learning platform encourages young Europeans to take action in the city. It provides them with the know-how they need to become urban transformation protagonists.

MULTIPLYING – Collaborating to boost impact

CITYMAKERS Recommendations – converge and communicate

Why: CITYMAKERS China – Germany has value to contribute to Sino-German cooperation for sustainable cities. But its knowledge must be condensed and crystallized to reach decision makers.

What: A method of managing and converging information resulting from a co-creative process. Analyzing what is now (status quo), sensing what is emerging (future) and exploring the gaps. Metaphor: “Looking through a magnifying glass” for the details and a “telescope” for the bigger picture. Related tools: Listening, SWOT analysis.


Strategic partner circles – Practicing ecosystem awareness

Why: In times of high interconnectivity and a shift from ego to ecosystem as an organizing principle and structure, actors can never act alone. We are all part of and dependent on a larger system of stakeholders and circumstances.


Example: CITYMAKERS China – Germany are in constant dialogue, in particular with actors that have a nucleus function in the ecosystem: GIZ and the Urbanization Partnership, ICLEI, NAX, DENA, TU Berlin’s China Center, the HABITAT Chair at TU Berlin, Tongji University Shanghai, Bauhaus-Universität Weimar and BMBF City of Tomorrow projects, for example.
Two years ago, the program embarked on the “citymaker” concept putting “livability of cities” at its center of attention, as Katja Hellkötter and Dr. Eduard Kögel indicated in the introductory article “www.stadtmacher4986.com/content/language1/downloads/Einfuehrungsgedanken_STADTMACHERChina.pdf” to the program in 2016. The focus was on initiatives and citizens’ activities at the grassroots level as well as on entrepreneurs searching for a deeper meaning of life through their own practices, and in the process, changing the microenvironment beyond the impact of architects and planners. Two years later, “social innovation complementary to technological innovation” is still the key concept, reinforced by interviews with a series of voices that emphasize the importance of culture, communication and community in the practice of city-making.

With the following reflection on twelve selected topics, we describe the most relevant key words. Some of the topics, such as participation, the collective, memory of the city and urban metabolism, are already CITYMAKERS China – Germany feature articles, while others must still be explored.
**Urban & rural**

Election results everywhere clearly reveal the gap between urban and rural areas, or the global economy’s winners and losers. Heimat is increasingly popular in Germany’s public discourse. Nostalgia for a faraway past and faraway land is both a yearning for an idealized paradise that never really existed and a form of escapism from current dissatisfaction. As depicted in the novel “Unterleuten” by Juli Zeh (2016), rural areas are less ideal landscapes than a faraway past and faraway land is both a yearning and a form of escapism from current dissatisfaction.

In China, urbanization and industrialization have been key words of the past forty years. The countryside suffers from a shrinking labor pool and low education standards, missing development chances and confronted with the aging problem. Rural areas must re-focus and examine the other side of the urbanization coin to find an alternative means of survival. Can we talk about a synthesis of the traditional and the modern? Can rural areas complement urban areas as depicted in the novel “Unterleuten” by Juli Zeh?

**Material & virtual**

Traditional techniques, folk wisdom and the tacit knowledge of daily life are things from which we can gain knowledge. Research in material culture helps to decipher the anthropological, historical or social conditions embedded in artifacts.

With the help of the emerging technology of augmented/virtual reality, how can we see through these “things” and understand/present what lies behind them? And how can a synthesis of traditional craftsmanship and new methods of digital production emerge? How can aspects of anthropological ethics become an integral part of further high-tech development?

**Memory of the city**

A city is an overlay of systems, people, and time – and precisely this overlay is what makes a city rich. The mature technical and legal experiences of Germany in historic preservation went through an accumulating process following the destruction of the world wars and the rapid post-war reconstruction. Especially since the late 1970s, radical urban renewal for myopic economic gain has swept through China, ignoring existing and historically valuable structures. The CITYMAKERS China – Germany Cultural Heritage incubator group (p. 22) is investigating the memory of the city Wuhan.

When will the radical action of “3F” be systematically re-examined and the memory of the city, the people and their past naturally unfold? How can flaneur and strollology help to discover a city’s past (and present)? How can cities tell their stories to both citizens and visitors and how can real life be balanced or integrated with tourists’ experiences without creating open air museums? The abundant examples of preservation in Germany provide a rich spectrum of how people have encountered history and its relics, and how moments of history can be preserved, remembered and in the best cases, become part of contemporary life.

**Urban metabolism**

Like ecology systems and human beings, cities constantly absorb and discharge substances and energy. Carbon footprints are not just abstract numbers. Everything we eat, wear and use (even the Internet) has a footprint: it comes from somewhere and eventually ends up somewhere.

In China, a middle-class consumer group is becoming mainstream, following the modern Western lifestyle with a high energy footprint and high consumption. However, the traditional frugal and durable lifestyle is a virtue and can be made compatible with modern needs. How can a circular economy be realized? The Urban Farming Incubator (p. 26) of CITYMAKERS China – Germany searches for answers and translates them into action.

**Concrete utopia**

“A social contract for sustainability” was called for in the first WBGU (German Advisory Counsel on Climate Change) factsheet in 2011. In other words, individuals and civil society groups, governments and the international community, business and science must take on shared responsibility for transformation. The potential of scientific advice is seen in “identifying policy options” through “analyzing complex information, offering integrated solutions, exploring opportunities and communicating results effectively.”

We need options and visions – not utopia as an output of romanticism and ideology or a dystopia of technocracy. Instead, a “concrete utopia” that is not based on an actual or common framework but could be realized given the right framework and circumstances of collaboration among involved participants. CITYMAKERS China – Germany could engage in bridging the theory and practice in this sense, finding models and supporting their realization in China and Germany.
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MAKING CITY – MAKING FRIENDS
Informal gathering of citymakers in Xi’an, July 2017

The questions:
How can we understand locality in times of vast urbanization?
What are the traces of local identities in Xi’an’s public space?
What does “local” actually mean?

Photography: left side by Li Xin, right side by WANG Keyao

Making City – Making Friends

Informal gathering of citymakers in Xi’an, July 2017

The questions:
How can we understand locality in times of vast urbanization?
What are the traces of local identities in Xi’an’s public space?
What does "local" actually mean?
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Xi'an City Wall